UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA \$
vs. \$
NO: WA:13-CR-00266(1)-ADA

(1) GUILLERMO MARCOS VILLA \$

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Before the court is the above styled and numbered cause. On February 18, 2025 the United States Probation Office filed a Petition For Warrant or Summons For Offender Under Supervision for Defendant (1) GUILLERMO MARCOS VILLA, which alleged that Villa violated a condition of his supervised release and recommended that Villa 's supervised release be revoked (Clerk's Document No. 63). A warrant issued and Villa was arrested. On February 21, 2025, Villa appeared before a United States Magistrate Judge, was ordered detained, and a revocation of supervised release hearing was set.

Villa appeared before the magistrate judge on March 4, 2025, waived his right to a preliminary hearing and to be present before the United States District Judge at the time of modification of sentence, and consented to allocution before the magistrate judge.

Following the hearing, the magistrate judge signed his report and recommendation on March 6, 2025, which provides that having carefully considered all of the arguments and evidence presented by the Government and Defendant, based on the original offense and

the intervening conduct of Villa, the magistrate judge recommends that this court revoke Villa supervised release and that Villa be sentenced to imprisonment for EIGHT (8) months, with no term supervised release to follow the term of imprisonment, with credit for time served since his arrest. The Court also RECOMMENDS that, to the extent possible, Defendant be imprisoned in a Federal Bureau of Prison facility close to Waco, Texas. (Clerk's Document No. 77).

A party may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation, and thereby secure a *de novo* review by the district court. *See* 28 U.S.C.§ 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). A party's failure to timely file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation in a report and recommendation bars that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass 'n*, 79 F.3d1415 (5th Cir. 1996) *(en bane)*. The parties in this cause were properly notified of the consequences of a failure to file objections.

On March 4, 2025, following the hearing on the motion to revoke supervised release, all parties signed a Waiver Of Fourteen Day Rule For Filing Objections To Report and Recommendation OfUnited States Magistrate Judge (Clerk's Document No. 76). The court, having reviewed the entire record and finding no plain error, accepts

and adopts the report and recommendation filed in this cause.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge filed in this cause (Clerk's Document No. 77) is hereby ACCEPTED AND ADOPTED by this court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant (1) GUILLERMO MARCOS

VILLA's term of supervised release is hereby REVOKED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant (1) GUILLERMO MARCOS VILLA be imprisoned for EIGHT (8) months with no term of supervised release, and with credit for time served. The Court also RECOMMENDS that, to the extent possible, Defendant be imprisoned in a Federal Bureau of Prison facility close to Waco, Texas.

Signed this 7th day of March, 2025.

ALAN D ALBRIGHT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE